

**Remarks/Arguments:**

In the final rejection dated March 8, claims 1 - 8 were rejected on new matter grounds and under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. The rejections were well taken, and we have accordingly revised the claims to bring them into compliance with section 112. . The changes to claims 1 and 8 primarily improve on English usage and clarity, and avoid language deemed to have been new matter.

The examiner was right to observe that each recess is really are not part of the claimed groove. Claims 1 and 8 have been amended to make it clear they are separate features.

The claims now presented distinguish the invention from the prior art of record, and we believe them to be in condition for allowance.

Should any issue remain unresolved, we would welcome an opportunity to discuss the issue with the examiner.

Respectfully submitted,



Charles W. Fallow  
Reg. No. 28,946

Shoemaker and Mattare, Ltd.  
10 Post Office Road  
Silver Spring, MD 20910  
(301) 589-8900

June 8, 2005

I certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile on June 8, 2005 to the Patent and Trademark Office at 703.872.9306.

